Stereocontrolled Construction of Hydroazulenones by Sequential Anionic Oxy-Cope Rearrangement- S_{N}' Allylic Ether Displacement

Leo A. Paquette* and Yao-Jun Shi¹

Evans Chemical Laboratories, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210

Received August 28, 1989

Summary: The possibility of generating hydroazulenone frameworks in stereocontrolled fashion is demonstrated with a 4α -methoxy-2-norcaren-3-yl example. This optically pure system illustrates in an especially revealing way the stereochemical issues surrounding assembly of the ketonic products.

Sir: We recently described the first example of intramolecular S_N' displacement of methoxide by enolate ions and hinted at the latent synthetic potential of this transformation.² In this paper, we demonstrate how this technology can be applied to the expedient elaboration of hydroazulenones based on the mechanistic paradigm illustrated in Scheme I. Broad application of this fundamental strategy to the stereocontrolled synthesis of carbocyclic frameworks typified by 1 can be envisioned. The latter, of course, constitute the backbone of guaianolide and pseudoguaianolide systems.³ The protocol defined by Scheme I offers several advantages over strategies previously devised for assembling functionalized hydroazulene networks.⁴ In order to make clear the stereochemical templating that is necessary to establish the several chiral centers in a given product, the results given by four stereoisomeric optically pure examples are detailed herein.

The readily available (-)-(1R,6S)- $\Delta^{4(10)}$ -caren-trans-3-ol,⁵ $[\alpha]^{20}$ _D -119.8° (c 2.47, CHCl₃), was O-methylated (NaH, MeI) and ozonolyzed to given norcaranone 2, which upon treatment with $LiN(i-Pr)_2$ and N-phenyltriflimide⁶ underwent completely regiocontrolled conversion to enol triflate 3a⁷ (Scheme II). Transformation into vinyl stannane 3b was accomplished according to Wulff et al.,8 thereby providing a nucleophilic 4α -methoxy-substituted 2-norcaren-3-yl system. Following transmetalation of 3b with methyllithium⁹ and condensation with (\pm) -2-chlorocyclohexanone,¹⁰ the pair of diastereomeric chlorohydrins 4 and 5 were isolated in a 1:1 ratio (45%). The stereo-

(2) Paquette, L. A.; Reagan, J.; Schreiber, S. L.; Telena, C. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 2331.
(3) (a) Heathcock, C. H. In The Total Synthesis of Natural Products; Apsimon, J., Ed.; John Wiley and Sons, Inc.: New York, 1973; Vol. 2, p 197. (b) Heathcock, C. H.; Graham, S. L.; Pirrung, M. C.; Plavac, F.; White, C. T. Ibid. Vol. 5, 1983.
(4) Sworin, M.; Lin, K.-C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 1815 and particular deformance sited theories.

(5) (a) Gollnick, K.; Schroeter, S.; Ohloff, G.; Schade, G.; Schenck, G.

Chem. Ber. 1965, 687, 14. (b) Paquette, L. A.; Ross, R. J.; Shi, Y.-J. submitted for publication.

(6) McMurry, J. E.; Scott, W. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1980, 21, 4313. (7) Structures assigned to all compounds were in accord with indi-vidual infrared, 300-MHz ¹H NMR, 75-MHz ¹³C NMR, and high-resolution mass spectra. Key intermediates have also given acceptable combustion analysis data. All recorded yields are based upon isolated ma-



chemical course of this 1,2-addition was observed to be entirely controlled by the neighboring halogen atom. The absolute configurations shown were ascertained by X-ray analysis of 5. The proper ensemble of necessary structural components was then arrived at by individual exposure of 4 and 5 to 3 equiv of vinylmagnesium bromide. This reagent promotes initial pinacol rearrangement (viz., 1,2migration of the 2-norcarenyl unit) with liberation of a cyclohexanone carbonyl that is captured by a second equivalent of the organometallic. The divinyl alcohol pairs 6/7 and 9/8 were isolated in ratios approximating 2:1 (43-82% combined yields after MPLC).¹¹ The diminished steric control in the second step permitted access to all four stereoisomers. The individual assignments to 6 and 7 follow directly from ¹H NMR comparison with their desmethoxy counterparts, for which crystallographic corroboration is available. The case for 8 and 9 rests upon X-ray results acquired for the first of these alcohols.

When 6 was heated with KH in tetrahydrofuran, it underwent smooth rearrangement to 10 and 11 during 2 h.¹² As shown in Scheme III, 6 is so configured that both vinyl appendages are equatorially disposed in A; further, their mutual approach is not sterically impeded by the heavily substituted cyclopropane ring. Electrocyclization via this chair transition state produces the trans, trans-cyclodecadiene B. In B, the two double bonds are nicely proximate, thereby enabling *frontside* transannular S_N' displacement¹³ of methoxide to operate. This process defines in precise manner all five stereogenic centers in 10, a hydroazulenone that is capable of further equilibration with 11.14

The Ohio State University Postdoctoral Fellow, 1988–1989.
 Paquette, L. A.; Reagan, J.; Schreiber, S. L.; Teleha, C. A. J. Am.

<sup>bustion analysis data. All recorded yields are based upon isolated inatterial of >97% purity.
(8) (a) Wulff, W. D.; Peterson, G. A.; Bauta, W. E.; Chan, K.-S.; Faron, K. L.; Gilbertson, S. R.; Kaesler, R. W.; Yang, D. C.; Murray, C. K. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 277. (b) See also Scott, W. J.; Crisp, G. T.; Stille, J. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 4630.
(9) Still, W. C.; Murata, S.; Revial, G.; Yoshihara, K. J. Am. Chem. Chem. 205.</sup>

Soc. 1983, 105, 625.

 ^{(10) (}a) Wender, P. A.; Sieburth, S. McN.; Petraitis, J. J.; Singh, S. K.
 Tetrahedron 1981, 37, 3967. (b) Wender, P. A.; Holt, D. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 7771.

⁽¹¹⁾ The identical reactions in the desmethoxyl series result in 1,2addition of vinyl exclusively trans to the norcarenyl substituent. Therefore, the ether oxygen plays an important role in directing entry of **this nucle**ophile, the effect being particularly evident in the relative proportion of 9.

⁽¹²⁾ Quenching of the reaction mixtures with ethanol at -78 °C delivered 10 and 11 in a 1:1 ratio. Alternative use of saturated aqueous NH₄Cl solution at the same temperature gave more 11 than 10 (ratio 2:1, 71% yield). Independent equilibration of 10 and 11 with NaOMe in methanol at 20 °C also led to a 2:1 predominance of transfused isomer 11.

⁽¹³⁾ Review: Magid, R. M. Tetrahedron 1980, 36, 1901.

⁽¹⁴⁾ The stereochemical features of 10, 11, and 13 were deduced by 2D COSY ¹H-¹H chemical shift correlation at 500 MHz. Details of these analyses will be amplified upon in the full paper.



Scheme III



By comparison, the topography intrinsic to 7 is not as sterically conducive to [3.3] signatropy. In conformation C, the two π -termini are not all in adequate proximity for bonding. The chair alternative D poses a different dilemma. The combination of diminished accessibility to the syn face of the norcarene double bond *and* steric screening by the solvated alkoxide substituent is apparently not easily surmounted. As a consequence, 7 does not isomerize. When 8 was heated analogously for 5 h, conversion to ketones 12 and 13 occurred (Scheme IV).^{14,15} This isomerization signaled that its potassium alkoxide chooses to adopt conformation E despite the need to accomplish C–C bond formation syn to the dimethylcyclopropane ring (note, however, the axial alkoxide substituent). The 35% efficiency of this conversion and the co-production of 14 (36%) are a likely reflection of this steric feature. Once F is accessed, its trans,trans geometry once again (as in B) permits subsequent transannular *backside* allylic ether displacement. When admixed with sodium methoxide in methanol, 12 was transformed completely into 13.

The conversion of 8 to 14 can be concisely rationalized as initially proceeding via transition state G, a simple rotamer of E (Scheme IV). The stereochemical outcome of the ensuing structural change is H, a *cis,trans*-cyclodecadiene. Since arrival at a medium ring having this geometry effectively precludes transannular conjoining of two olefinic centers because of the large interconnective gap that now exists,¹⁶ H is not capable of subsequent S_N' reaction. In this instance, protonation ultimately delivers 14.

The initial ring expansion in 9 seemingly utilizes a transition-state geometry (I) akin to that found in G (Scheme V). Subsequent arrival at J provides no other option but protonation to again deliver 14 (67%).

The acquisition of stereoisomers 10-13 illustrates the scope and stereoselectivity of the present method.¹⁷ The success of this strategy is, of course, necessarily dependent upon assembling functional group arrays where the attainment of termini proximity is not problematic (as in 7).

⁽¹⁵⁾ Quenching of these reaction mixtures ethanol at -78 °C gave rise to 12 and 13 in a 1:3 ratio. When saturated aqueous NH₄Cl solution was employed under otherwise identical conditions, only 13 was isolated.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Evaluation of the trans 1,5-enols of *cis*- and *trans*-cyclodecen-5one by means of the MODEL program with complete energy minimization gave the following transannular gaps for potential cyclization: trans,trans isomer, 2.86 Å; cis,trans isomer, 3.20 Å.

⁽¹⁷⁾ The yields in examples less sterically congested than those described herein are significantly improved.



Furthermore, a kinetic predisposition for generation of an intermediate *cis,trans*-cyclodecadiene [as seen for 8 (in part) and 9] must be recognized as conducive to stalemating the medium-ring enolate anion from entering into transannular bonding. The best scenario features evolution of a *trans,trans*-cyclodecadiene such as B and F where ideal stereoalignment is available for S_N' ejection of methoxide ion. We note in conclusion that chairlike transition states carrying an axial oxyanionic bond (see A and E) are a necessary prelude to these two-step processes. The relative importance of this structural feature^{18,19} in other contexts is presently under investigation. Acknowledgment. This investigation was supported by NIH Grant CA-12115. We especially wish to acknowledge Prof. Robin Rogers and Dr. Judith Gallucci for carrying out the X-ray analyses, Dr. Charles Cottrell for recording the 2-D COSY spectra, and George Maynard for performing the MODEL calculations.

⁽¹⁸⁾ Clive, D. L. J.; Russell, C. G.; Suri, S. C. J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 1632.

⁽¹⁹⁾ Paquette, L. A.; Pegg, N. A.; Toops, D.; Maynard, G. D.; Rogers, R. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc., in press.